ZooKeys 902: 1–15 (2020)
doi: 10.3897/zookeys.902.34593
http://zookeys.pensoft.net

REVIEW ARTICLE

A peer-reviewed open-access journal
ZooKeys
Launched to accelerate biodiversity research

An overview on the nomenclatural and phylogenetic problems of native Asian brine shrimps of the genus *Artemia* Leach, 1819 (Crustacea, Anostraca)

Alireza Asem[1,2], Amin Eimanifar[3], Nasrullah Rastegar-Pouyani[4], Francisco Hontoria[5], Stephanie De Vos[6], Gilbert Van Stappen[6], Shi-Chun Sun[1]

1 *Institute of Evolution and Marine Biodiversity, Ocean University of China, 5 Yushan Road, Qingdao 266003, China* **2** *College of Life Sciences and Ecology, Hainan Tropical Ocean University, Yucai Rd, Sanya 572000, China* **3** *Independent Senior Research Scientist, Industrial District, 21601 Easton, Maryland, USA* **4** *Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Razi University, 6714967346 Kermanshah, Iran* **5** *Instituto de Acuicultura de Torre de la Sal (IATS-CSIC). 12595 Ribera de Cabanes, Castellón, Spain* **6** *Laboratory of Aquaculture & Artemia Reference Center, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering – Blok F, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, B-9000 Gent, Belgium*

Corresponding author: *Shi-Chun Sun* (sunsc@ouc.edu.cn)

Academic editor: *Saskia Brix* | Received 18 March 2019 | Accepted 12 August 2019 | Published 13 January 2020

http://zoobank.org/0C0A2352-B67E-4222-B798-4FBC93A6719D

Citation: Asem A, Eimanifar A, Rastegar-Pouyani N, Hontoria F, De Vos S, Stappen GV, Sun S-C (2020) An overview on the nomenclatural and phylogenetic problems of native Asian brine shrimps of the genus *Artemia* Leach, 1819 (Crustacea, Anostraca). ZooKeys 902: 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.902.34593

Abstract

The genus *Artemia* Leach, 1819 is a cosmopolitan halophilic crustacean, consisting of bisexual species and obligate parthenogenetic populations. Asia is rich in *Artemia* biodiversity. More than 530 *Artemia* sites have been recorded from this area and more than 20 species/subspecies/variety names have been used for them. There exist various problems in the nomenclature, identification, and phylogenetic status of *Artemia* native to Asia, which are discussed in this paper.

Keywords

Artemia, phylogeny, nomenclature, taxonomy, Asia

Copyright *Alireza Asem et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

The brine shrimp *Artemia* Leach, 1819 is a halophilic zooplankton, distributed in saline habitats worldwide, with the exception of Antarctica (Van Stappen 2002). The genus consists of several bisexual species and a large number of polyphyletic obligate parthenogenetic populations including di-, tri-, tetra-, and pentaploid (Asem et al. 2016). Asia is rich in *Artemia* biodiversity, where more than 530 *Artemia* sites have been recorded (count based on Walter 1888; Sars 1901; Bond 1934; Van Stappen 2002; John et al. 2004; Mura and Nagorskaya 2005; Abatzopoulos et al. 2006; Shadrin and Anufriieva 2012; Salman et al. 2012; Vikas et al. 2012; Zheng and Sun 2013; Naganawa and Mura 2017). Many of the sites are now inhabited by the invasive species *Artemia franciscana* Kellogg, 1906 (in some cases, co-existing with other bisexual species or parthenogenetic populations), whose identification were mostly confirmed by molecular analyses (e.g., Van Stappen et al. 2007; Vikas et al. 2012; Eimanifar et al. 2014). For *Artemia* native to Asia, more than 20 binomial/trinomial names have been used by different authors (see below). Several problems emerged in the past related to the nomenclature, identification or the phylogenetic status of *Artemia* species. Some of these issues have found a solution and scientific consensus, whereas others still persist.

As far as we are aware, 14 binomens (including the one questionably reported as a species of *Branchinecta* Verrill, 1869) and nine trinomens, as well as unidentified species/subspecies/varieties, have appeared in the form of scientific names (combined with a genus name and typeset in italics) in literature relating to native Asian brine shrimps. As shown in Table 1, almost all of the names have some kind of nomenclatural problem. Among the 13 binomens proposed for *Artemia*, eleven fulfil the availability requirements of International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN, 4th edition) and are considered to be available species names [*Artemia salina* (Linnaeus, 1758); *Artemia asiatica* Walter, 1887; *Artemia urmiana* Günther, 1899; *Artemia parthenogenetica* Bowen & Sterling, 1978; *Artemia sinica* Cai, 1989; *Artemia barkolica* Qian and Wang in Qian et al. 1992; *Artemia urumuqinica* Qian and Wang in Qian et al. 1992; *Artemia ebinurica* Qian and Wang in Qian et al. 1992; *Artemia tibetiana* Abatzopoulos, Zhang & Sorgeloos, 1998; *Artemia frameshifta* Naganawa & Mura, 2017; *Artemia murae* Naganawa in Naganawa and Mura 2017], and the other two are unavailable [*Artemia kazakhastan* Vikas et al., 2012; *Artemia china* Vikas et al., 2012]. *Branchinecta orientalis* Sars, 1901 sensu Chiang, 1983 is supposed to be a misuse for brine shrimp (vide post). Three trinomens (*Artemia sinica sinica* Cai, 1989; *Artemia sinica tibetiana* Abatzopoulos, Zhang & Sorgeloos, 1998; *Artemia salina arietina* Fischer, 1851) are available subspecies names, with all their subspecific names first proposed as (available) specific names. The other six trinomens are unavailable names.

Many Asian *Artemia* populations were reported as *A. salina* in earlier publications but most of these records were later revealed to be parthenogenetic *Artemia*, *A. tibetiana*, *A. sinica* or unidentified bisexual populations (Mura and Nagorskaya 2005; Salman et al. 2012; Shadrin and Anufriieva 2012; Zheng and Sun 2013; Eimanifar et al. 2014; Litvinenko et al. 2016). Even so, the inadequate use of the name still appeared

in very recent papers, e.g., Alas et al. (2017) identified the Salt Lake (= Tuz Lake, Turkey) population as *A. salina* although they have been aware that Başbuğ (1999) already documented the population reproducing parthenogenetically.

Padhye and Lazo-Wasem (2018) indicated that the Sambhar Lake (Rajasthan, India) population was a valid report of *A. salina*, whereas the several hundred specimens from this lake at the Indian Museum seem to be all females (Belk and Esparza 1995). Confirmed distribution of this species in Asian countries is restricted to Cyprus, an island in the eastern Mediterranean (Baxevanis et al. 2006).

Bond (1934) reported *A. salina* from Tso Kar, Ladakh, Jammu & Kashmir. Padhye and Lazo-Wasem (2018) studied Bond's specimens deposited in the Yale Peabody Natural History Museum, and referred them as an *Artemia* sp. that is morphologically close to *A. sinica*. However, this study made a mistake in citing literature and erroneously stated that *A. tibetiana* does not have a basal spine on the male gonopod, even though it is well developed in this species (Mura and Brecciaroli 2004; Zheng and Sun 2008). Given that Tso Kar is closer to the sites of *A. tibetiana* (than those of *A. sinica*), and that they live in similar high altitude habitats, this population awaits a (molecular) comparison with *A. tibetiana*, as well as with other bisexual phylogenetic lineages from adjacent areas such as the "Kazakhstan" and "Kyêbxang Co" population (vide post).

Two varieties of *A. salina* were reported from Asia. Gurney (1921) identified the Amara (Iraq) population as *Artemia salina* var. *arietina* Fischer 1851. Since the Amara population is parthenogenetic (Salman et al. 2012), the population is by no means assignable to *A. salina*. Walter (1888) identified his specimens from Molla-kary (Turkmenistan) as "*Artemia salina* L. var." and thought it to be a variety between *A. salina* and *Artemia milhausenii* (Fischer, 1834). Because Walter's specimens contained only females, the Molla-kary population may also be parthenogenetic and is not assignable to any bisexual species.

Artemia urmiana was originally described as a bisexual species based on specimens from Urmia Lake, Iran (Günther 1899), but Barigozzi and Baratelli (1989) documented that all samples collected from Urmia Lake in 1987 were parthenogenetic and contained di-, tetra-, and pentaploid individuals. Azari Takami (1989) reported that bisexual and parthenogenetic populations coexisted in the Lake. Agh et al. (2007) concluded that parthenogenetic samples had likely been collected from lagoons neighbouring Urmia Lake or its coastal areas, whereas bisexual *A. urmiana* dominated in the main body of the lake. However, a later study documented the existence of parthenogenetic populations in both the lagoons and the main body of Urmia Lake, with significant morphometric differentiation (Asem et al. 2009). In addition to Iran, several populations in Altai (Russia) may belong to this species (Shadrin and Anufriieva 2012), Turkey and Turkmenistan (Eimanifar et al. 2014). The record of this species from Basrah (Iraq) should be *A. franciscana* (see Salman et al. 2012). Outside Asia, Abatzopoulos et al. (2009) identified *A. urmiana* from Lake Koyashskoe (Crimea). Another study based on sequence variation of the mitochondrial COI marker suggested the occurrence of *A. urmiana* in Bulgaria, China, Greece, Crimea, Turkey, and Turkmenistan (Eimanifar et al. 2015). However, these populations need to be further

Table 1. Names that have ever been used for native brine shrimps *Artemia* of Asia, their availability, information of type specimens and nomenclatural problems

Taxon names	Availability	Type specimens	Type locality	Comments and/or references
A. salina (Linnaeus, 1758)	Available	Not mentioned in original description	Salt works at Lymington, England	Linnaeus 1758
A. asiatica Walter, 1887	Available	Syntypes. Number of specimens and deposit place not mentioned in original description	Murgab, Tajikistan	This species is known only from type locality (Walter 1887, 1888). It was considered to be a *nomen dubium* (Belk and Brtek 1995)
A. urmiana Günther, 1899	Available	Syntypes. Number of specimens and deposit place not mentioned in original description	Urmia Lake, Iran	Günther 1899
A. parthenogenetica Bowen & Sterling, 1978	Available	Syntypes, containing cysts from five localities. Deposit place not mentioned in original description	Madras and Kutch, India; Port Hedland, Australia; Sète, France; Yamaguchi-ken, Japan	Though the term "parthenogenetica" was used by earlier authors, Belk and Brtek (1995) clarified its authorship should be Bowen and Sterling (1978). Type specimens should include all specimens that Bowen and Sterling (1978) studied, and type localities include all 5 sites collecting the specimens (ICZN Articles 73.2.3, 76.1). Rogers (2013) listed this name as a nomen dubium.
A. sinica Cai, 1989	Available	Syntypes, probably containing cysts and laboratory cultured adults, which are believed to have been lost (Yaneng Cai, pers. comm.)	Yun Cheng Salt Lake, Shanxi, China	*Artemia sinica* was referred to Cai (1989b) in some publications (e.g., Abatzopoulos et al. 1998; Hou et al. 2006; Van Stappen et al. 2009; Zheng and Sun 2013). However, Cai (1989a; an abridged version of Cai 1989b) has nomenclatural priority because it was published earlier (January 1989) than Cai (1989b; spring and fall 1989 / mailed 17 July 1990). Cai (1989a) described only the morphology of adults, but according to Cai (1989b), the type series of this species might contain cysts and laboratory cultured adults (ICZN Article 72.4)
A. barkolica Qian & Wang in Qian et al. 1992	Available	"Holotypes" 5♀♀, 5♂♂; paratypes 56♀♀, 4♂♂. Deposited at Xinjiang August First Agricultural College (now Xinjiang Agricultural University), Xinjiang, China	Barkol Lake, Xinjiang, China	Multiple specimens were designated as 'holotype' in the original description (Qian et al. 1992). All type specimens may be regarded as syntypes, with original authors' "holotypes" having the priority in designating as a lectotype when necessary
A. urumuqinica Qian & Wang in Qian et al. 1992	Available	"Holotypes" 5♀♀; paratypes 60♀♀. Deposited at Xinjiang Agricultural University	Urumqi Caiwuo Pu Yan Hu (= Dabancheng Salt Lake / Dabancheng Dong Salt Lake), Xinjiang, China	Ibid
A. ebinurica Qian & Wang in Qian et al. 1992	Available	"Holotypes" 6♀♀, 2♂♂; paratypes 60♀♀. Deposited at Xinjiang Agricultural University	Ebinur (=Aibi Lake used in many publications), Xinjiang, China	Ibid
A. tibetiana Abatzopoulos, Zhang & Sorgeloos, 1998	Available	Syntypes, probably consisting of cysts (two batches collected in different time), nauplii and adults. Deposit place not mentioned in original description	Lagkor Co, Tibet, China	Abatzopoulos et al. (1998) studied adults, nauplii and cysts, all should be components of type series (ICZN Article 72.4). Later studies showed Lagkor Co population was a mixture of bisexual and parthenogenetic *Artemia* (Van Stappen et al. 2003; Maccari et al. 2013). So the type series may contain specimens of more than one species, given that samples studied by Abatzopoulos et al. (1998) were not contaminated after collection and parthenogens were not introduced to the lake after harvesting of type samples
A. kazakhastan Vikas et al. 2012	Unavailable	N/A	N/A	This name appeared in Vikas et al. (2012: 135, 138) in the form of binomen, which seemed to refer to "*Artemia* sp. Kazakhstan" mentioned in the same paper. It is obvious that the authors did not intend to establish any new taxa, therefore is unavailable (ICZN Article 16)

Taxon names	Availability	Type specimens	Type locality	Comments and/or references
A. china Vikas et al. 2012	Unavailable	N/A	N/A	Same as the last name, but this name seemed to refer to "*Artemia* sp. China" (Kyêbxang Co population)
A. frameshifta Naganawa & Mura, 2017	Available	Holotype ♀; Deposited at Kyoto University Museum	Bajan-Onjul, Tov aimag, Mongolia	Naganawa and Mura 2017
A. murae Naganawa in Naganawa & Mura, 2017	Available	Holotype ♂; allotype ♀. Deposited at Kyoto University Museum	Tonkhil nuur (Tonkhil Lake), Gobi-Altai, Mongolia	In addition to the type specimens, Naganawa and Mura (2017) observed 232 other specimens including 124 ♂♂ and 108 ♀♀
? *Branchinecta orientalis* Sars, 1901 sensu Chiang, 1983	N/A	N/A	N/A	Sars (1901) described this anostracan based on specimens from Lake Chuntu-nor, Dornod, Mongolia. Chiang's (1983) record from Kyêbxang Co, Tibet, China might be *Artemia* (see text)
A. urmiana parthenogenetica Barigozzi, 1980 non *A. parthenogenetica* Bowen & Sterling, 1978	Unavailable	N/A	N/A	Barigozzi (1980) used this trinomen as an example to discuss the nomenclature of parthenogenetic *Artemia*. It is unavailable because of no description or diagnosis (ICZN Article 13)
A. parthenogenetica urmiana Barigozzi, 1980 non *A. urmiana* Günther, 1899	Unavailable	N/A	N/A	Ibid
A. sinica sinica Cai, 1989	See *A. sinica*	See *A. sinica*	See *A. sinica*	Zhou et al. (2003b)
A. sinica tibetiana Abatzopoulos, Zhang & Sorgeloos, 1998	See *A. tibetiana*	See *A. tibetiana*	See *A. tibetiana*	Zhou et al. (2003b)
A. sinica jingyuhuensis Yin, Zhang & You, 2013	Unavailable	N/A	N/A	Referring to the bisexual population from Jingyu Lake, Xinjiang, China, this name appeared first in the MSc degree thesis of Zhou (2001), and then in Yin et al. (2013). The former was an unpublished work, the later did not describe it as a new taxon and designate name-bearing type(s), thus the name is unavailable (ICZN Articles 13 and 16)
A. sinica xiaochaidanensis Yin, Zhang & You, 2013	Unavailable	N/A	N/A	Same as the last name, but referring to the bisexual population from Xiao Qaidam Lake, Qinghai, China
A. sinica gahaiensis Yin, Zhang & You, 2013	Unavailable	N/A	N/A	Yin et al. (2013) used this name for the parthenogenetic *Artemia* population from Ga Hai, Qinghai, China. It is unavailable because these authors did not describe it as a new taxon and designate name-bearing type(s) (ICZN Articles 13 and 16)
A. sinica aibihuensis Yin, Zhang & You, 2013	Unavailable	N/A	N/A	Same as the last name, but referring to the parthenogenetic *Artemia* population from Ebinur, Xinjiang, China
A. salina var. *arietina* Fischer, 1851	Available	Syntypes, including several specimens. Deposit place not mentioned in original description	Odessa, Ukraine	Fischer (1851) described *Artemia arietina*, which might be bisexual because both sexes were mentioned. The name is now thought to be a *nomen dubium* (Belk and Brtek 1995). Gurney (1921) reported the Amara (Iraq) population as *A. salina* var. *arietina*
Artemia sp.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Many Asian *Artemia* populations were reported as *Artemia* sp.
Artemia s. subsp. (=*A. sinica* subsp.)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yin et al. (2011) reported the *Artemia* from Jingyu Lake (Xinjiang, China) and Xiao Qaidam Lake (Qinghai, China) as *A. s.* subsp.
A. salina var.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Walter (1888) reported Molla-kary population (Turkmenistan) as "*Artemia salina* L. var." and considered it a variety between *A. salina* and *Artemia milhausenii* (Fischer, 1834) ["in die Reihe der von *Artemia salina* L. (Milne Edw.) zur *Artemia milhausenii* Fisch."]. The name *A. milhausenii* was established for *Artemia* from Crimmea (Fischer, 1834) and is now considered a *nomen dubium* or *nomen nodum* (Belk and Brtek 1995; Rogers 2013)

explored with special emphases on the status of reproductive mode (bisexual or parthenogenetic) to confirm the coexistence of *A. urmiana* and parthenogenetic populations in these localities or/and existence of shared COI haplotype(s) between *A. urmiana* and parthenogenetic gene pools.

For two decades, Tibetan bisexual populations have been considered as belonging to a single species, *A. tibetiana*, originally described as a bisexual species from Lagkor Co, Tibet, China (Abatzopoulos et al. 1998). However, as that in Urmia Lake, a parthenogenetic population was also documented from this lake (Van Stappen et al. 2003; Maccari et al. 2013; see Table 1). Wang et al. (2008) documented four Tibetan bisexual populations clustering in two different clades using the mitochondrial COI marker, with one clade only hosting the type locality population (Lagkor Co) and a second distinct clade hosting the others (Kyêbxang Co (=Qixiang Lake or Qi Xiang Cuo), Nima, and Yangnapeng Co). Two other studies have shown that the Tibetan populations clustered in two different groups in a phylogenetic tree based on the COI marker, while all of them represented a single clade based on the nuclear marker ITS1 (Maccari et al. 2013; Eimanifar et al. 2014). Thus, the taxonomic status of these populations awaits to be clarified by future investigations. Chiang (1983) reported *Branchinecta orientalis* from Kyêbxang Co, whereas later studies showed that the anostracan in this lake was *Artemia* (e.g., Zhou et al. 2003a, 2003b; Hou et al. 2006; Yu and Xin 2006; Wang et al. 2008). We suppose that Chiang (1983) might have confused his specimens (no Tb-76-2012) for two reasons: 1) Chiang (1983: 451) reported the altitude of the lake as 4740 m, while it was listed as 4660 m in the chapter "General Account" of the same book (Chiang et al. 1983: 29); and 2) during the time (1976) he collected specimens, the salinity of this lake was as high as 63.27 g/L (Zheng et al. 2002), salinity that is not suitable for *B. orientalis*.

The validity of *Artemia sinica*, described based on specimens from Yuncheng Salt Lake, China (Cai 1989a) has rarely been questioned, and nearly 30 bisexual populations from China (see review of Zheng and Sun 2013), and several populations from Russia (Shadrin and Anufriieva 2012; Litvinenko et al. 2016), and Mongolia (Gajardo and Beardmore 2012; Eimanifar et al. 2014) have been identified as this species so far. The molecular clock divergence analysis indicated that *A. sinica* had already diverged in the late Miocene (19.99 Mya), whereas *A. urmiana*, *A. tibetiana*, and "Eurasian Haplotype Complex" (EHC refer to group of parthenogenetic *Artemia* lineages) shared a common ancestor in the late Pliocene (5.41 Mya) (Eimanifar et al. 2015).

Another controversial topic in taxonomy of *Artemia* relates to a batch of bisexual *Artemia* cysts from Kazakhstan (KAZ; ARC no. 1039), supplied by Catvis Co. (s-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands) in 1988. However, no information about the exact origin(s) of the sample (Pilla and Beardmore 1994; Ben Cattel, pers. comm. 2017) was provided. An earlier study documented morphological differentiations between this bisexual sample and other Asian species (Pilla and Beardmore 1994). In molecular analyses, this population was located in a separate phylogenetic clade in the mitochondrial COI tree (Maccari et al. 2013), but was clustered together with *A. urmiana* and *A. tibetiana* using ITS1 nuclear marker (Vikas et al. 2012). Under these circumstances,

the systematic position and geographical origin of this sample remains a source of debate. So far only one site, the salt lake Margen-sor (district of Atbassar), was reported to be inhabited by bisexual *Artemia* in Kazakhstan (Sars 1901: reported as *A. salina*). Future sampling in Margen-sor may help to elucidate if KAZ and the Margen-sor population belong to the same species/lineage.

Qian et al. (1992) described three species based on specimens from three different salt lakes in Xinjiang, China, namely *Artemia barkolica* Qian & Wang, 1992, *Artemia urumuqinica* Qian & Wang, 1992 and *Artemia ebinurica* Qian & Wang, 1992 (Table 1). Except for 12 papers in the special Chinese-language issue "Studies on *Artemia* of Barkol Lake" (Journal of August 1st Agriculture College, 1994, Vol. 17 no. 2) and Qian et al. (1993), which used the names *A. barkolica* and *A. urumuqinica*, respectively, these three species have not been recognised by other researchers. As commented by Zheng and Sun (2013), the very biased sex ratios in the original description, as well as the results of many other studies, have indicated that all three populations were parthenogenetic. Since all these populations consist of strains of different ploidies (for reference review see Zheng and Sun 2013), and diploid/triploids and tetraploid/pentaploids are assumed to have originated separately (Maniatsi et al. 2011; Asem et al. 2016); each of the three nominal species may represent more than one phylogenetic clade (or species) or they may be synonyms.

Recently, in Mongolia, two new species have been described: *A. frameshifta* and *A. murae* (see Naganawa and Mura 2017). These species have been described using primary morphological characters and a single COI sequence, whereas morphometric differentiation and population genetic analysis have not been studied. Although both species were said to reproduce bisexually in the original descriptions, males have not been observed in *A. frameshifta*. Considering the 'sex ratio' (125 males and 109 females were observed), phylogenetic position (sister to *A. sinica*) and genetic distance (p-distance between *A. murae* and *A. sinica* is 4.8%) (Naganawa and Mura 2017), *A. murae* may represent a lineage close to *A. sinica*. Moreover, no sequences of parthenogenetic *Artemia* were included in the phylogenetic analysis of Naganawa and Mura (2017). Therefore, the taxonomic status of these species also needs to be re-confirmed by future multidisciplinary studies on their biology and phylogeny.

Artemia asiatica was described according to only female specimens from Murgab, Tadjikistan (Walter 1887). It may be a parthenogenetic population, and the name is now treated as a nomen dubium (Belk and Brtek 1995).

Theoretically, the ability of interfertility and producing offspring able to reproduce is a common criterion to confirm subspecies status (Mayr 1969). In nature however, subspecies populations mostly have an allopatric distribution. Due to geographical isolation, proof of natural interbreeding is practically impossible except in rare cases. The results of cross-breeding tests with different Asian bisexual *Artemia* were inconsistent among different studies. Pilla and Beardmore (1994) documented complete interfertility among *Artemia* sp. (the KAZ sample), *A. urmiana*, and *A. sinica*. Zhou et al. (2003b) showed interfertility between *A. sinica* and *A. tibetiana*, and considered them as subspecies (*Artemia sinica sinica* and *Artemia sinica tibetiana*). In other studies,

however, an isolating barrier was found between *A. urmiana* and *A. sinica* (see Zheng and Sun 2008), and between *A. sinica* and *A. tibetiana* (see Abatzopoulos et al. 2002b; Zheng and Sun 2008). Due to the possible effect of laboratory rearing conditions (ionic composition of the medium, salinity and temperature) on the reproductive potential of *Artemia* (Abatzopoulos et al. 2003; Velasco et al. 2016, 2018), it seems that a fertility test is not suitable as a single biological tool to determine *Artemia* population/species ranks. Although reproductive isolation in captivity/laboratory circumstances might refer to a lack of gene flow in nature, laboratory cross-fertility even for F1 and later generations cannot evidence that such cross-fertility would occur under natural conditions if there is no hybrid zone (Helbig et al. 2002).

Two other bisexual populations, the Jingyu Lake (Xinjiang, China) population and the Xiao Qaidam Lake (Qinghai, China) population, were considered to represent different subspecies of *A. sinica*. The subspecies names *Artemia sinica jingyuhuensis* Yin, Zhang & You, 2013 and *Artemia sinica xiaochaidanensis* Yin, Zhang & You, 2013 were proposed for them, respectively, though they are not available (Table 1). Zheng and Sun (2008) documented some morphological differences between Jingyu Lake and Lagkor Co populations though they identified the former population as *A. tibetiana*. In phylogenetic analyses the Jingyu Lake population located in a clade containing *A. tibetiana* (Wang et al. 2008; Yin et al. 2011, 2013), while the Xiao Qaidam Lake population is clustered together with *A. sinica* (Yin et al. 2011, 2013).

In addition, there are also a number of allegedly bisexual populations, generally based on visual observation and personal communication, in Asian saline habitats, especially in Siberia and China, which have not been identified to any nominal species (Van Stappen et al. 2009; Zheng and Sun 2013). The phylogenetic status of these populations still needs to be determined.

The nomenclatural and taxonomic status of *Artemia parthenogenetica* have been discussed by previous authors (Barigozzi 1980; Belk and Brtek 1995; Baxevanis et al. 2006; see also Table 1). Since Bowen and Sterling (1978), this name has been used in numerous publications (sometimes followed by a population site), whereas some authors preferred to refer parthenogenetic *Artemia* as "populations" (Abatzopoulos et al. 2002a; Baxevanis et al. 2006; Asem et al. 2010). Recent molecular analyses have shown that parthenogenetic *Artemia* is a polyphyletic group, with diploids/triploids being evolutionally close to *A. urmiana* while tetraploids/pentaploids sharing a common ancestor with *A. sinica* (Asem et al. 2016). In another molecular analysis conducted by Eimanifar et al. (2015), the parthenogenetic *Artemia* has been named as "Eurasian Haplotype Complex" comprising a group of putative parthenogenetic *Artemia* lineages which were genetically close to two bisexual species, *A. urmiana* and *A. tibetiana*. The molecular divergence analysis has indicated that a recent population expansion in *A. urmiana* and "Eurasian Haplotype Complex" occurred in the Pleistocene (1.72 Mya) and Holocene (0.84 Mya), respectively. Males of parthenogenetic *Artemia* (produced only by diploids; Saleem Chang et al. 2017) are fertile when mating with bisexual *A. sinica*, *A. tibetiana*, *A. urmiana* and KAZ (Cai 1993; Liu et al. 2007; Maccari et al.

2014), but not fertile when mating with the female of the other bisexual species (MacDonald and Browne 1987). Parthenogenetic females mating with males produce only parthenogenetic offspring (Barigozzi 1974). These results suggest that parthenogenetic *Artemia* may originate from bisexual species native to Asia; the gene pool of bisexual *Artemia* may be affected by diploid parthenogenetic *Artemia* but not vice versa; gene exchange can hardly happen among parthenogenetic individuals of different ploidies. In other words, parthenogenetic individuals cannot readily be considered as belonging to a single species as commented by Abatzopoulos et al. (2002a), and can be assigned to taxa different from bisexuals. There are already some available names referring to parthenogenetic *Artemia*, e.g., *Artemia bivalens* Artom, 1912 (Artom 1912 proposed this name for a tetraploid parthenogenetic population from Capo d' Istria; also as *Artemia salina bivalens*), and the aforementioned *A. asiatica*, *A. barkolica*, *A. urumuqinica*, *A. ebinurica*, and *A. frameshifta* (?). These names could be candidates to be assigned to parthenogenetic populations, but this requires the type specimens to be re-examined, or/and topotypes to be studied using multidisciplinary methods.

Artemia is a taxon of difficult classification because of the lack of discernible characters. Some morphological characters are documented to be useful in delimitate species. For instance, the conical frontal knob on male antenna and the absence of basal spine on gonopod can segregate *A. salina* from the other bisexual species (e.g., Mura and Brecciaroli 2004; Zheng and Sun 2008), the "orthostichous spines" on gonopod are present only in species from China *(A. sinica*, *A. tibetiana*) (Zheng and Sun 2008). Molecular analyses based on genetic markers, like mitochondrial COI and 16SrRNA, and nuclear ITS1 sequences, have successfully resolved the phylogenetic relationships of different populations and are useful to assign a population to a known species/clade (e.g., Baxevanis et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2008; Yin et al. 2011, 2013; Eimanifar et al. 2014, 2015; Asem et al. 2016). However, these methods cannot resolve all taxonomic problems existing in Asian *Artemia*, particularly they cannot tell the taxonomic rank (species/subspecies) of a certain morphological group or phylogenetic clade. As discussed earlier, the test of reproductive isolation, though theoretically supposed to be a gold criterion for diagnosing species, cannot resolve these problems, either. Therefore, based on present knowledge it is hard to tell an exact number of valid species of *Artemia* living in Asia.

As far as we know, there are three ongoing comprehensive projects related to *Artemia* genomics, including the *Artemia* genome project, in which the full nuclear genome of inbred *A. franciscana* from Great Salt Lake was assembled and annotated (Ghent University, Belgium), also a study involving the creation of whole-genome SNP-based second-generation genetic maps (Ocean University of China, China), and a study devoted to complete the mitochondrial genomes of all described bisexual species (including both bisexual clades from Tibet) and parthenogenetic *Artemia* with different ploidy degrees (Hainan Tropical Ocean University, China). We believe that the final results of these studies will further contribute to deciphering the phylogenetic relationships in the genus *Artemia*.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (201762017), and Science and Technology Research Funds of Tibet Autonomous Region (XZ201703-GB-04). We thank Professor Alexei V. Chernyshev (National Scientific Center of Marine Biology, Russian Academy of Sciences) for explaining some questions regarding the literature.

References

Abatzopoulos TJ, Agh N, Van Stappen G, Razavi Rouhani SM, Sorgeloos P (2006) *Artemia* sites in Iran. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 86: 299–307. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315406013154

Abatzopoulos TJ, Amat F, Baxevanis AD, Belmonte G, Hontoria F, Maniatsi S, Moscatello S, Mura G, Shadrin NV (2009) Updating geographic distribution of *Artemia urmiana* Günther, 1890 (Branchiopoda: Anostraca) in Europe: An integrated and interdisciplinary approach. International Review of Hydrobiology 94: 560–579. https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.200911147

Abatzopoulos TJ, El-Bermawi N, Vasdekis C, Baxevanis AD, Sorgeloos P (2003) Effects of salinity and temperature on reproductive and life span characteristics of clonal *Artemia*. (International Study on *Artemia*. LXVI). Hydrobiologia 492: 191–199. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024826702830

Abatzopoulos TJ, Beardmore JA, Clegg JS, Sorgeloos P (2002a) *Artemia*: Basic and Applied Biology. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 286 pp. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-0791-6

Abatzopoulos TJ, Kappas I, Bossier P, Sorgeloos P, Beardmore JA (2002b) Genetic characterization of *Artemia tibetiana* (Crustacea: Anostraca). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 75: 333–344. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1095-8312.2002.00023.x

Abatzopoulos TJ, Zhang B, Sorgeloos P (1998) *Artemia tibetiana*: preliminary characterization of a new *Artemia* species found in Tibet (People's Republic of China). International study on *Artemia*. LIX. International Journal of Salt Lake Research 7: 41–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02449923

Agh N, Abatzopoulos TJ, Kappas I, Van Stappen G, Razavi Rouhani SM, Sorgeloos P (2007) Coexistence of sexual and parthenogenetic *Artemia* populations in Lake Urmia and neighbouring lagoons. International Review of Hydrobiology 92: 48–60. https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.200610909

Alas A, Kaya M, 0ktener A (2017) Distribution and abundance of *Artemia salina* in the Salt Lake Basin (Central Anatolia, Turkey). Transylvanian Review of Systematical and Ecological Research 19: 37–44. https://doi.org/10.1515/trser-2017-0011

Artom C (1912) Le basi citologiche di una nuova sistematica del genere *Artemia*. Sulla dipendeiiza tra il numero dei cromosomi delle cellule germinative, e la graiidezza dei nuclei delle cellule somatiche dell' *Artemia salina univalens* di Cagliari, e dell' *Artemia saliiia bivalens* di Capo d' Istria. Archiv für Zellforschung 9: 87–113.

Asem A, Eimanifar A, Sun S-C (2016) Genetic variation and evolutionary origins of parthenogenetic *Artemia* (Crustacea: Anostraca) with different ploidies. Zoologica Scripta 45: 421–436. https://doi.org/10.1111/zsc.12162

Asem A, Atashbar B, Rastegar-Pouyani N, Agh N (2009) Biometric comparison of two parthenogenetic populations of *Artemia* Leach, 1819 from the Urmia Lake basin, Iran (Anostraca: Artemiidae). Zoology in the Middle East 47: 117–120. https://doi.org/10.1080/09397140.2009.10638358

Asem A, Rastegar-Pouyani N, De los Rios P (2010) The genus *Artemia* Leach, 1819 (Crustacea: Branchiopoda): true and false taxonomical descriptions. Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research 38: 501–506.

Azari Takami G (1989) Two strains of *Artemia* in Urmia Lake (Iran). *Artemia* Newsletter 13: 5 pp.

Barigozzi C (1974) *Artemia*: a survey of its significance in genetic problems. Evolutionary Biology 7: 221–252. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-6944-2_6

Barigozzi C (1980) Genus *Anemia*: problems of systematics. In: Persoone G, Sorgeloos P, Roels O, Jaspers E (Eds) The Brine Shrimp *Anemia*, Vol. 1, Morphology, Genetics, Radiobiology, Toxicology. Universa Press, Wetteren, 147–153.

Barigozzi C, Baratelli L (1989) The problem of *Artemia urmiana*. *Artemia* Newsletter 14: 14 pp.

Başbuğ Y (1999) Reproduction characteristics of *Artemia salina* (L., 1758) in Salt Lake. Turkish Journal of Zoology 23: 635–640.

Baxevanis AD, Kappas I, Abatzopoulos TJ (2006) Molecular phylogenetics and asexuality in the brine shrimp *Artemia*. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 40: 724–738. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-560X2010000300014

Belk D, Brtek J (1995) Checklist of the Anostraca. Hydrobiologia 298: 315–353. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00033826

Belk D, Esparza CE (1995) Anostraca of the Indian subcontinent. Hydrobiologia, 298: 287–293. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00033823

Bond RM (1934) Report of phyllopod Crustacea (Anostraca, Notostraca and Conchostraca) including a revision of the Anostraca of the Indian Empire. Memoirs of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences 10: 29–62.

Bowen ST, Sterling G (1978) Esterase and malate dehydrogenase isozyme polymorphisms in 15 *Artemia* populations. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. B, Comparative Biochemistry 61: 593–595. https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0491(78)90055-X

Cai Y (1989a) New *Artemia* sibling species from PR China. *Artemia* Newsletter, 11: 40–41.

Cai Y (1989b) A redescription of the brine shrimp (*Artemia sinica*). Wasmann Journal of Biology 47: 105–110.

Cai Y (1993) *Artemia* and its crossing experiments. Journal of Ocean University of Qingdao 23: 52–57. [in Chinese with English summary]

Chiang S-C (1983) The branchiopod crustaceans from Xizang Plateau. In: Chiang S-C, Shen Y-F, Gong X-J (Eds) The Series of the Scientific Expedition to Qinghai-Xizang Plateau: Aquatic Invertebrates of the Tibetan Plateau. Science Press, Beijing, 443–466. [In Chinese]

Chiang S-C, Shen Y-F, Gong X-J (1983) General Account. In: Chiang S-C, Shen Y-F, Gong X-J (Eds) The Series of the Scientific Expedition to Qinghai-Xizang Plateau: Aquatic Invertebrates of the Tibetan Plateau. Science Press, Beijing, 1–38. [In Chinese]

Eimanifar A, Van Stappen G, Marden B, Wink M (2014) *Artemia* biodiversity in Asia with the focus on the phylogeography of the introduced American species *Artemia franciscana* Kellogg, 1906. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 79: 392–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.06.027

Eimanifar A, Van Stappen G, Wink M (2015) Geographical distribution and evolutionary divergence times of Asian populations of the brine shrimp *Artemia* (Crustacea, Anostraca). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 174: 447–458. https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12242

Fischer GW (1834) Notice sur une nouvelle espèce de *Branchipus* de Latreille. Bulletin de la Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou 7: 452–461.

Fischer GW (1851) Branchiopoden und Entomostracen. In: Middendorff AT (Ed.) Reise in den äussersten Norden und Osten Sibiriens während der Jahre 1843 und 1844 mit Allerhöchster Genehmigung auf Veranstaltung der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu St. Petersburg ausgeführt und in Verbindung mit vielen Gelehrten, Bd. 2., T. 1. Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, St. Petersburg, 149–162.

Gajardo GM, Beardmore JA (2012) The brine shrimp *Artemia*: adapted to critical life conditions. Frontiers in Physiology 3: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2012.00185

Günther RT (1899) Crusracea. In: Günther RT (Ed.) Contributions to the Natural History of Lake Urmi, N.W-Persia, and its Neighbourhood; John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken 394–399. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1899.tb00414.x

Gurney R (1921) Freshwater crustacean collected by Dr. P.A. Buxton in Mesoptamia and Persia. The Bombay Natural History Society 27: 835–843.

Helbig AJ, Knox AG, Parkin DT, Sangster G, Collinson M (2002) Guidelines for assigning species rank. International Journal of Avian Science 144: 518–525. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1474-919X.2002.00091.x

Hou L, Bi X-D, Zou X-Y, He C-B, Yang L, Qu R-Z, Liu Z-J (2006) Molecular systematics of bisexual *Artemia* populations. Aquaculture Research 37: 671–680. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2006.01480.x

John CJA, Abatzopoulos TJ, Marian PM (2004) Characterization of a new parthenogenetic *Artemia* population from Thamaraikulam, India. Journal of Biological Research 2: 63–74.

Kellogg VL (1906) A new *Artemia* and its life condition. Science 24: 594–596. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.24.619.594-b

Linnaeus C (1758) Systema Naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis, Vol. 1. Laurentii Salvii, Holmiae, 823 pp. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.542

Litvinenko LI, Litvinenko AI, Boyko EG (2016) Brine Shrimp *Artemia* in Western Siberia Lakes. Siberian Publishing Company "Nauka", Novosibirsk, 295 pp.

Liu F-Q, Xiang J-H, Liu G-M, Bu W-J, Ji B-C, Liu A-X (2007) Rare male culture from *Artemia parthenogenetica* of Gahai and its cross breeding with *Artemia sinica*. Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Nankaiensis 40: 24–27. [in Chinese with English summary]

Maccari M, Amat F, Gómez A (2013) Origin and genetic diversity of diploid parthenogenetic *Artemia* in Eurasia. PLoS ONE 8(12): e83348. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083348

Maccari M, Amat F, Hontoria F, Gomez A (2014) Laboratory generation of new parthenogenetic lineages supports contagious parthenogenesis in *Artemia*. PeerJ 2: e439. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.439

MacDonald GH, Browne RA (1987) Inheritance and reproductive role of rare males in a parthenogenetic population of the brine shrimp, *Artemia parthenogenetica*. Genetica 75: 47–53. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00056032

Maniatsi S, Baxevanis AD, Kappas I, Deligiannidis P, Triantafyllidis A, Papakostas S, Bougiouklis D, Abatzopoulos TJ (2011) Is polyploidy a persevering accident or an adaptive evolutionary pattern? The case of the brine shrimp *Artemia*. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 58: 353–364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.11.029

Mayr E (1969) Principles of Systematic Zoology. McGraw Hill, New York (& London), xiv + 434 pp.

Mura G, Brecciaroli B (2004) Use of morphological characters for species separation within the genus *Artemia* (Crustacea, Branchiopoda). Hydrobiologia, 520: 179–188. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:HYDR.0000027721.85736.05

Mura G, Nagorskaya L (2005) Notes on the distribution of the genus *Artemia* in the former USSR countries (Russia and adjacent regions). Journal of Biological Research 4: 139–150.

Naganawa H, Mura G (2017). Two new cryptic species of *Artemia* (Branchiopoda, Anostraca) from Mongolia and the possibility of invasion and disturbance by the aquaculture industry in East Asia. Crustaceana 90: 1679–1698. https://doi.org/10.1163/15685403-00003744

Padhye SM, Lazo-Wasem EA (2018) An updated and detailed taxonomical account of the large Branchiopoda (Crustacea: Branchiopoda: Anostraca, Notostraca, Spinicaudata) from the Yale North India Expedition deposited in the Yale Peabody Natural History Museum. Zootaxa 4394 (2): 207–218. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4394.2.3

Pilla EJS, Beardmore JA (1994) Genetic and morphometric differentiation in Old World bisexual species of *Artemia* (the brine shrimp). Heredity 73: 47–56. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1994.97

Qian Y, Zhang Q, Yang C-Z, Cai X-L, Fan Y, Wang G-X (1992) Description of three new species of the genus *Artemia* in Xinjiang, China. Journal of August 1st Agriculture College 15(1): 41–47. [in Chinese with English summary]

Qian Y, Zhang Q, Yang C-Z, Wang G-Y (1993) Studies on growing behavior of *A. urumuqinica*. Journal of August 1st Agriculture College 16: 55–58. [in Chinese with English summary]

Rogers DC (2013) Anostraca catalogus (Crustacea: Branchiopoda). The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology 61: 525–546.

Saleem Chang M, Asem A, Sun SC (2017) The incidence of rare males in seven parthenogenetic *Artemia* (Crustacea: Anostraca) populations. Turkish Journal of Zoology, 41: 138–143. https://doi.org/10.3906/zoo-1512-67

Salman SD, Mohammed DS, Ali MH (2012) Review of the biogeography of *Artemia* Leach, 1819 (Crustacea: Anostraca) in Iraq. International Journal of *Artemia* Biology, 2: 62–73.

Sars GO (1901). On the crustacean fauna of central Asia. Part I, Amphipoda and Phyllopoda. Annuaire du Musée Zoologique de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences de St. Pétersbourg, 6: 130–164.

Shadrin N, Anufriieva E (2012) Review of the biogeography of *Artemia* Leach, 1819 (Crustacea: Anostraca) in Russia. International Journal of *Artemia* Biology 2: 51–61.

Van Stappen G (2002) Zoogeography. In: Abatzopoulos TJ, Beardmore JA, Clegg JS, Sorgeloos P (Eds) Biology of Aquatic Organisms. *Artemia* Basic and Applied Biology. Dordrecht, 171–224. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-0791-6_4

Van Stappen G, Litvinenko LI, Litvinenko AI, Boyko EG, Marden B, Sorgeloos P (2009) A survey of *Artemia* resources of Southwest Siberia (Russian Federation). Reviews in Fisheries Science 17: 116–148. https://doi.org/10.1080/10641260802590095

Van Stappen G, Sui L-Y, Xin N-H, Sorgeloos P (2003) Characterisation of high-altitude *Artemia* populations from the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, PR China. Hydrobiologia 500: 179–192. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024658604530

Van Stappen G, Yu H-Y, Wang X-M, Hoffman S, Cooreman K, Bossier P, Sorgeloos P (2007) Occurrence of allochthonous *Artemia* species in the Bohai Bay area, PR China, as confirmed by RFLP analysis and laboratory culture tests. Fundamental and Applied Limnology 170: 21–28. https://doi.org/10.1127/1863-9135/2007/0170-0021

Velasco SJ, Retana OD, Castro MJ, Castro MG, Castro CAE (2018) Effect of different salinities on the survival and reproductive characteristics of populations of *Artemia franciscana* Kellogg, 1906 from coastal and inland waters of Mexico. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 6(2): 1090–1096.

Velasco SJ, Retana ODA, Castro MJ, Castro MG, Monroy DMC, Ocampo CJA, Cruz CI, Becerril CD (2016) Salinity effect on reproductive potential of four *Artemia franciscana* (Kellogg, 1906) Mexican populations grown in laboratory. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies 4(3): 247–253.

Vikas PA, Sajeshkumar NK, Thomas PC, Chakraborty K, Vijayan KK (2012) Aquaculture related invasion of the exotic *Artemia franciscana* and displacement of the autochthonous *Artemia* populations from the hypersaline habitats of India. Hydrobiologia, 684: 129–142. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-011-0976-x

Walter A (1887) Vorläufige Diagnose und Beschreibung zweier neuer Branchiopoden aus Transkaspien. Bulletin de la Societe Imperiale des Naturalistes de Moscou, no. 4. 924–927.

Walter A (1888) Transkaspische Binnencrustaceen. Zoologische Jahrbücher, Abtheilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Thiere 3: 987–1014.

Wang W, Luo Q, Guo H, Bossier P, Van Stappen G, Sorgeloos P, Xin N, Sun, Q, Hu S, Yu J (2008) Phylogenetic analysis of brine shrimp (*Artemia*) in China using DNA barcoding. Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics 6: 155–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1672-0229(09)60003-6

Yin H, Guan N, Fu Y-T (2011) Molecular phylogeny of bisexual *Artemia* based on 16S rDNA. Agricultural Science &Technology 12: 659–662.

Yin Z, Zhang X-X, You T (2013) Molecular phylogeny of different geographic *Artemia* populations based on 16S rDNA mark. Heilongjiang Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine (7–1): 135–137. [in Chinese]

Yu X-L, Xin N-H (2006) The characterization of *Artemia* cysts from 4 salt lakes of Tibet. Sea-Lake Salt and Chemical Industry 35(1): 25–26. [in Chinese with English summary]

Zheng B, Sun S-C (2008) Taxonomic consideration of eight Chinese bisexual *Artemia* populations, based on the morphology of frontal knob and gonopod and the result of cross-breeding tests. Zootaxa 1919: 25–44. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1919.1.2

Zheng B, Sun S-C (2013) Review of the biogeography of *Artemia* Leach, 1819 (Crustacea: Anostraca) in China. International Journal of *Artemia* Biology 3(1): 20–50.

Zheng X-Y, Zhang M-G, Xu C, Li B-X (2002) An Overview of Salt Lakes in China. Science Press, Beijing, 415 pp. [In Chinese]

Zhou K-X (2001) Studies on the Taxonomy and Biological Features of Bisexual *Artemia* from Plateau Salt Lakes of China. M. Sci. thesis. Hebei University, Baoding. [in Chinese with English summary]

Zhou K-X, Xu M-Q, Guan Y-Q, Yin X-C (2003a) Numeric taxonomy using cysts and nauplii of bisexual *Artemia* from China. Journal of Lake Sciences 15 (2): 153–159. [in Chinese with English summary] https://doi.org/10.18307/2003.0209

Zhou K-X, Xu M-Q, Yin X-C (2003b) Morphological characterization of sexual *Artemia* (Branchiopoda) from China. Crustaceana 76: 1331–1346. https://doi.org/10.1163/156854003323009849